

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/553,223	04/20/2000	Gregory B. Williams	MN-9050	5050
75	590 08/26/2003			
LOUIS C. CULLMAN STRADLING YOCCA CARLSON AND RAUTH 660 NEWPORT CENTER DRIVE, SUITE 1600 P.O. BOX 7680			EXAMINER	
			WEBER, JON P	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEWPORT BE	PORT BEACH, CA 92660			TAILKHOMBEK
			1651 DATE MAILED: 08/26/2003	$\mathcal{S}$

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		7.5			
		09/553,223	WILLIAMS ET AL.		
	omee Action Gammary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Jon P Weber, Ph.D.	1651		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 J	une 2003 .			
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>43-60</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>53-59</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
·	Claim(s) 43-52 and 60 is/are rejected.				
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers					
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
. ت. (۰۰	Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s). <u>15</u> . Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 09/553,223

Art Unit: 1651

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 26 June 2003 has been entered. Claims 43-60 have been presented for examination.

#### Election/Restrictions

Newly submitted claims 53-59 directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons:

New claims 53-59 are drawn to a process that does not provide the steps or limitations said to distinguish over the relied upon art. The process is different from previously presented invention because it has a different objective and proceeds in different steps.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 53-59 withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

## Claim Objections

Claim 51 is objected to because of the following informalities: "microporicessor" is a misspelling. Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 09/553,223

Art Unit: 1651

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 43-52 and 60 are now rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The instant amendment adds the limitation that the clear plastic microtiter plate has been modified such that the bottom and side walls of the wells remain clear plastic, although the top may be and preferably is opaque. In addition to urging this feature as distinguishing over the relied upon art, it is argued that the second distinguishing feature is the use of AFUs.

It is clear from the disclosure at the cited portions of the disclosure, pages 15-17, that AFUs are critically linked to the use of clear plastic modified plates. However, the disclosure at page 17 points out that there are problems: 1) significant light energy is lost using these modified clear plastic plates compared to white plastic, so that the AFUs directly calculated do not correlate with the databases and no meaningful results are obtained, and 2) there is no linearity between the clear plastic and white plastic values so a mathematical function must be used to convert initial AFUs into usable AFUs.

The problem here is two-fold. First, the disclosure not describe how the voltage is initially converted into AFUs, reciting at page 16, lines 30-31 that, "The voltage emanating from

Application/Control Number: 09/553,223

Art Unit: 1651

Page 4

the VCO is converted into an AFU by an onboard microprocessor using imbedded (sic) software". Second, the mathematical function used to convert the clear plastic AFUs to AFUs that can be used with white plastic database information is not presented.

Neither of these mathematical manipulations is described. Without these manipulations, the raw fluorescence data collected is not usable. Trade secrets are not patentable. One cannot practice the inventions without a more complete description of the methods of conversion of the raw data into usable form.

Accordingly, the claims are rejected because the disclosure lacks an adequate written description of critical subject matter.

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jon P Weber, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 703-308-4015. The examiner can normally be reached on daily, off 1st Fri, 9/5/4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Wityshyn can be reached on 703-308-4743. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

on P Weber, Ph.D. Primary Examiner Art Unit 1651

**JPW**